#### DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION

Interim Final 2/5/99

#### **RCRA Corrective Action**

# Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA725) Current Human Exposures Under Control

Facility Name:	Talon, Inc Plant #7
Facility Address:	1305 South Main Street, Meadville, PA 16335
Facility EPA ID #:	PAD 04 529 7066

1.	Has all available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to soil,
	groundwater, surface water/sediments, and air, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste
	Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been considered in this
	EI determination?

X	If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.
	If no - re-evaluate existing data, or
	If data are not available skip to #6 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code

#### BACKGROUND

### **Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)**

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

### **Definition of "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI**

A positive "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that there are no "unacceptable" human exposures to "contamination" (i.e., contaminants in concentrations in excess of appropriate risk-based levels) that can be reasonably expected under current land- and groundwater-use conditions (for all "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

# **Relationship of EI to Final Remedies**

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, GPRA). The "Current Human Exposures Under Control" EI are for reasonably expected human exposures under current land- and groundwater-use conditions ONLY, and do not consider potential future land- or groundwater-use conditions or ecological receptors. The RCRA Corrective Action program's overall mission to protect human health and the environment requires that Final remedies address these issues (i.e., potential future human exposure scenarios, future land and groundwater uses, and ecological receptors).

### **Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations**

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Page 2

2. Are groundwater, soil, surface water, sediments, or air **media** known or reasonably suspected to be "**contaminated**" above appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action (from SWMUs, RUs or AOCs)?

	Yes	<u>No</u>	?	Rationale / Key Contaminants
Groundwater		X		
Air (indoors) <sup>2</sup>		X		
Surface Soil (e.g., <2 ft)		X		
Surface Water		X		
Sediment		X		
Subsurf. Soil (e.g., >2 ft)		X		
Air (outdoors)		X		

X

If no (for all media) - skip to #6, and enter "YE," status code after providing or citing appropriate "levels," and referencing sufficient supporting documentation demonstrating that these "levels" are not exceeded.

If yes (for any media) - continue after identifying key contaminants in each "contaminated" medium, citing appropriate "levels" (or provide an explanation for the determination that the medium could pose an unacceptable risk), and referencing supporting documentation.

If unknown (for any media) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s): The TALON Plant No.7 facility was situated on a 14 acre parcel and was composed of three main buildings. Process associated with zipper manufacturing took place within structures including chemical treatment metal stock, stamping and coining metal stock, painting and assembly. TALON used materials such as nickel, brass, copper, and cyanide solutions to plate the metal components. Since 1948 TALON also operated a waste treatment plant to process inorganic plating wastes, such as acids, bases, heavy metals, and cyanide. As a part of waste treating, TALON utilized a series of six 50,000 gallon aboveground storage tanks to treat acid and two 28,000 gallon tanks to receive cyanide liquid waste. Additionally, four 10,000 gallon tanks accumulated waste treatment sludge. All twelve of these above ground storage tanks were considered to be hazardous waste Permit-by-Rule storage tanks under RCRA.

TALON submitted a Closure Plan to PADEP on August 12, 1992 plus an amendment on August 27, 1993. This plan was approved by PADEP in September 1993. The Closure Plan included removal of wastes, and equipment, analyses of background and subsurface soil beneath the WTP, and excavation of contaminated soils. Approximately 3,859 tons of contaminated soil were removed from the WTP area by October 1994. In August, 1995, the PADEP issued closure certification acknowledging that "clean closure" had been achieved for the site. The TALON Closure Report contained a detailed description of cleanup activities at 21 separate areas within the main building which were addressed during remediation efforts apart from the RCRA regulated tanks. PADEP made no judgement on the adequacy of the cleanup in their certification approval letter apart from official recognition of the cleanup activities as these units were not regulated under RCRA.

The property was sold to the Meadville Redevelopment Authority, but environmental liability for the site remains in the name of TALON, Inc. of Toccoa, GA. The site is presently the home of an "Industrial Incubator" where several business tenants occupy the remediated buildings. The water supply is provided the Meadville public water works to tenants of this site.

Page 3

No monitoring wells were required by PADEP due to the nature of the "clean closure". However, a series of monitoring wells were installed by TALON in preparation for the sale of the site to the Redevelopment Authority. Low level concentrations of volatile organics were detected which appeared to be unrelated to the RCRA regulated tanks or activities. The Redevelopment Authority did not demand action on groundwater at the site, only assurance that they would not be responsible in the future for any cleanup ordered by PADEP.

Monitoring results dated October, 1995 indicated tetrachloroethene, trichoroethene, and vinyl chloride were detected below the drinking water standards of 5 ug/l. Vinyl chloride exceeded the drinking water standard (2 ug/l) at 9.5 ug/l in one well. The presence of these compounds appears unrelated to the listed and hazardous wastes managed in the RCRA regulated units. No additional monitoring has been required by PADEP as the site is serviced by public drinking water.

#### Footnotes:

- <sup>1</sup> "Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriately protective risk-based "levels" (for the media, that identify risks within the acceptable risk range).
- <sup>2</sup>Recent evidence (from the Colorado Dept. of Public Health and Environment, and others) suggest that unacceptable indoor air concentrations are more common in structures above groundwater with volatile contaminants than previously believed. This is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration necessary to be reasonably certain that indoor air (in structures located above (and adjacent to) groundwater with volatile contaminants) does not present unacceptable risks.

Page 4

3. Are there **complete pathways** between "contamination" and human receptors such that exposures can be reasonably expected under the current (land- and groundwater-use) conditions?

### **Summary Exposure Pathway Evaluation Table**

Potential **Human Receptors** (Under Current Conditions)

"Contaminated" Media	Residents	Workers	Dav-Care	Construction	Trespassers	Recreation	$Food^3$
Groundwater					F		
Air (indoors)							
Soil (surface, e.g., <2 ft)							
Surface Water							
Sediment							
Soil (subsurface e.g., >2	ft)						
Air (outdoors)							
Instructions for S	ummary Exposur	e Pathway I	Evaluation T	'able:			
1. Strike-out specific Media including Human Receptors' spaces for Media which are not "contaminated" as identified in #2 above.							
2. enter	"yes" or "no" for	r potential "	'completene	ss" under each	"Contaminate	d" Media H	Human
	r combination (Pa	-	•				
Note: In order to focus the evaluation to the most probable combinations some potential "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combinations (Pathways) do not have check spaces (""). While these combinations may not be probable in most situations they may be possible in some settings and should be added as necessary.							
If no (pathways are not complete for any contaminated media-receptor combination) - skip to #6, and enter "YE" status code, after explaining and/or referencing condition(s) inplace, whether natural or man-made, preventing a complete exposure pathway from each contaminated medium (e.g., use optional <a href="Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet">Pathway Evaluation Work Sheet</a> to analyze major pathways).							
	If yes (pathways are complete for any "Contaminated" Media - Human Receptor combination) - continue after providing supporting explanation.						
	If unknown (for	any "Conta	minated" M	edia - Human I	Receptor comb	ination) - skij	p to #6

Rationale and Reference(s):

and enter "IN" status code.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Indirect Pathway/Receptor (e.g., vegetables, fruits, crops, meat and dairy products, fish, shellfish, etc.)

Page 5

4.	Can the <b>exposures</b> from any of the complete pathways identified in #3 be reasonably expected to be " <b>significant</b> " (i.e., potentially "unacceptable" because exposures can be reasonably expected to be: 1) greater in magnitude (intensity, frequency and/or duration) than assumed in the derivation of the acceptable "levels" (used to identify the "contamination"); or 2) the combination of exposure magnitude (perhaps even though low) and contaminant concentrations (which may be substantially above the acceptable "levels") could result in greater than acceptable risks)?						
		If no (exposures can not be reasonably expected to be significant (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "YE" status code after explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
		If yes (exposures could be reasonably expected to be "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") for any complete exposure pathway) - continue after providing a description (of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure pathway) and explaining and/or referencing documentation justifying why the exposures (from each of the remaining complete pathways) to "contamination" (identified in #3) are not expected to be "significant."					
		If unknown (for any complete pathway) - skip to #6 and enter "IN" status code					
	Rationale and Re	ference(s):					

<sup>4</sup> If there is any question on whether the identified exposures are "significant" (i.e., potentially "unacceptable") consult a human health Risk Assessment specialist with appropriate education, training and experience.

Page 6

	If yes (all "significant" exposures have been shown to be within acceptable limits) - continue and enter "YE" after summarizing <u>and</u> referencing documentation justifying why all "significant" exposures to "contamination" are within acceptable limits (e.g., a site-specific Human Health Risk Assessment).
	If no (there are current exposures that can be reasonably expected to be "unacceptable")-continue and enter "NO" status code after providing a description of each potentially "unacceptable" exposure.
	If unknown (for any potentially "unacceptable" exposure) - continue and enter "IN" statucode

Page 7

Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Current Human Exposures Under Control EI event code (CA725), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (and attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility):						
X	YE - Yes, "of the inform expected to 529 7066, lo 16335 under evaluated when the same of t	Current Human Exposures Under Contraction contained in this EI Determination be "Under Control" at the Talon, Inc ocated at 1305 South Main Street, City or current and reasonably expected condition the Agency/State becomes aware of	ol" has been verified. Based on a review n, "Current Human Exposures" are Plant #7 facility, EPA ID #PAD 04 of Meadville, Crawford County, PA tions. This determination will be ref significant changes at the facility.			
	NO - "Curr	ent Human Exposures" are NOT "Under	Control."			
	IN - More	information is needed to make a determ	ination.			
Completed by	(signature)	/Hon Lee	Date <u>09-23-02</u>			
	(print)	Hon Lee				
	(title)	Remedial Project Manager	<u> </u>			
Supervisor	(signature)	/Paul Gotthold	Date <u>09-23-02</u>			
	(print)	Paul Gotthold	<u></u>			
	(title)	PA Operations Branch Chief	<u></u>			
	(EPA Regio	on or State) EPA, Region 3	_			
Original signed	9-1-98 by Art	hur F. Provost of PADEP.				
Locations wher	e References r	nav be found:				
Locations where References may be found:  PADEP Northwest Regional Office						
	230 Chestnut Street					
Meadville, PA 1	6335					
Contact telephor	ne and e-mail	numbers:				
-						
(name)	Sigma	Toth				
(phone	e #) 814-33					

FINAL NOTE: THE HUMAN EXPOSURES ELIS A QUALITATIVE SCREENING OF EXPOSURES AND THE DETERMINATIONS WITHIN THIS DOCUMENT SHOULD NOT BE USED AS THE SOLE BASIS FOR RESTRICTING THE SCOPE OF MORE DETAILED (E.G., SITE-SPECIFIC) ASSESSMENTS OF RISK.

stoth@state.pa.us

(e-mail)